

**ORDER SHEET****WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL****Present-**

The Hon'ble Justice Soumitra Pal (Chairman)  
& The Hon'ble P.Ramesh Kumar (Administrative Member)

**Case No. OA – 1081 OF 2015**

**SAMRAJNY KONAR Vs. THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL & ORS.**

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
<p style="text-align: center;"><u>16</u> 11.10.18</p>	<p>For the Applicant : Mr.S.N.Ray Advocate</p> <p>For the Respondent : Mr.D.Koley Advocate</p> <p>Mr.S.N.Ray, learned advocate for the applicant submits that the matter may be taken up for hearing at an early date so that the validity of the impugned order of transfer may be gone into by the Tribunal. Moreover, the applicant is not getting salary for the last five months. It is submitted that challenging the order dated 22<sup>nd</sup> August, 2016 passed in this matter by the Tribunal the applicant had preferred a writ application under Article 226 of the Constitution of India before the High Court, being WPST 208 of 2016, whereby the order dated 22<sup>nd</sup> August, 2016 was set aside on 3<sup>rd</sup> May, 2017 by the High Court. On a query it is submitted that the applicant on her own volition had sought for lien as evident from annexure-C to the application and she is now A.C.T.O. in a different department.</p> <p>Mr.D.Koley, learned advocate appearing on behalf of the respondent submits that the applicant has not joined the transferred post. Though the High Court</p>	

**ORDER SHEET****SAMRAJNY KONAR**

Form No. ....

**Vs.****THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL & ORS.**Case No. **OA - 1081 OF 2015** .....

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
BLR	<p>had passed the order in WPST 208 of 2016 on 3<sup>rd</sup> May, 2017, this matter has been mentioned belatedly.</p> <p>Heard Mr.S.N.Ray, and Mr.D.Koley. We find that though the High Court had passed the order on 3<sup>rd</sup> May, 2017, it was mentioned on 4<sup>th</sup> October, 2018 for early hearing and today it has come up under the heading "To be Mentioned". The applicant has mentioned the matter after about one and half years from the date on which High Court had passed the order. Since the Tribunal will be closed for vacation from 15<sup>th</sup> October, 2018 till 9<sup>th</sup> November, 2018 at this stage it is not possible to fix the matter for hearing at an early date. Let the matter appear under the heading "Hearing" on 16<sup>th</sup> January, 2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(P.RAMESH KUMAR)</b>  <b>MEMBER(A)</b> </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(SOUMITRA PAL)</b>  <b>CHAIRMAN</b> </p>	